

## NOTE

# The Instability of the Yee Scheme for the “Magic Time Step”

M. S. Min and C. H. Teng

*Division of Applied Mathematics, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02912*

E-mail: [mmin@cfm.brown.edu](mailto:mmin@cfm.brown.edu), [ct@cfm.brown.edu](mailto:ct@cfm.brown.edu)

Received March 13, 2000; revised July 31, 2000

---

*Key Words:* electromagnetics; finite difference time domain; stability; von Neumann condition.

---

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The Yee algorithm [1] for the Maxwell equations requires that the time step  $\Delta t$  be bounded in order to avoid numerical instability. In [2], it was stated that the scheme is stable under the conditions  $\Delta t \leq \Delta x$  in one dimension and  $\Delta t \leq 1/\sqrt{(1/\Delta x)^2 + (1/\Delta y)^2}$  in two dimensions.

In this paper, we show that the Yee scheme is not stable when  $\Delta t = \Delta x$  for the one-dimensional case and  $\Delta t = 1/\sqrt{(1/\Delta x)^2 + (1/\Delta y)^2}$  for the two-dimensional case. This means that one cannot take the maximum  $\Delta t$  referred to as the “magic time step” in [2]. Remis [5] found similar results by studying the eigenvalues of the iteration matrix for a specific boundary condition. However, in this paper, the analysis is carried out using the Kreiss matrix theorem [4] for the case with a periodic boundary condition.

We consider the fully discrete Yee scheme applied to the dimensionless form of Maxwell’s equations in free space. Fourier transformation of the scheme gives us a linear system with an amplification matrix  $\hat{Q}$ . In order to analyze the stability of the scheme, we investigate the properties of the amplification matrix.

In Section 2, we first examine the stability of the one-dimensional scheme. It is shown that the scheme with the condition  $\Delta t > \Delta x$  does not satisfy the von Neumann condition [4], which implies that one of the eigenvalues of the amplification matrix is greater than one in magnitude and so the scheme is unstable. For the case  $\Delta t < \Delta x$ , the von Neumann condition becomes a necessary and sufficient condition for the stability due to the fact that

the norm of the  $n$ th power of  $\hat{Q}$  is uniformly bounded as  $n$  grows. Finally, we analyze the case  $\Delta t = \Delta x$ , which is our main concern in this paper. This satisfies the von Neumann condition. However, the norm of the  $n$ th power of  $\hat{Q}$  grows linearly with  $n$ , so that the numerical scheme is unstable for this case.

In Section 3, we extend the same stability analysis to the two-dimensional scheme. A detailed proof is shown only for the case  $\Delta t = 1/\sqrt{(1/\Delta x)^2 + (1/\Delta y)^2}$ .

We provide a numerical example of the instability of the magic time step for a one-dimensional problem in Section 4 and the conclusion is in the last section.

## 2. THE ONE-DIMENSIONAL CASE

The non-dimensional Maxwell equations, describing the dynamics of waves in one-dimensional free space, are written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial x}, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $H_y$  and  $E_z$  are the magnetic field in the  $y$  direction and the electric field in the  $z$  direction, respectively.

The Yee scheme applied to the above equations is

$$\begin{aligned} H_y|_{j+\frac{3}{2}}^{n+\frac{3}{2}} - H_y|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \left( E_z|_{j+1}^{n+1} - E_z|_j^{n+1} \right) \\ E_z|_j^{n+1} - E_z|_j^n &= \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \left( H_y|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - H_y|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Consider the sinusoidal-traveling-wave solution of (1) as numerically evaluated at the discrete space–time point  $(x_j, t_n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H_y|_j^n &= H_y(j \Delta x, n \Delta t) = H_y(x_j, t_n) = \hat{H}_y^n(w) e^{iwx_j} \\ E_z|_j^n &= E_z(j \Delta x, n \Delta t) = E_z(x_j, t_n) = \hat{E}_z^n(w) e^{iwx_j}, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where  $w$  is the wavenumber.

Substituting (3) into the Yee scheme (2) and canceling the common terms, we obtain the system

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{H}_y^{n+\frac{3}{2}} \\ \hat{E}_z^{n+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 4\lambda^2 \sin^2 \frac{\xi}{2} & 2i\lambda \sin \frac{\xi}{2} \\ 2i\lambda \sin \frac{\xi}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{H}_y^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ \hat{E}_z^n \end{bmatrix}, \tag{4}$$

where  $\lambda = \Delta t/\Delta x$  and  $\xi = w \Delta x$ . Thus the amplification matrix  $\hat{Q}$  is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - 4\alpha^2 & 2i\alpha \\ 2i\alpha & 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{5}$$

with  $\alpha = \lambda \sin \frac{\xi}{2}$ . The corresponding eigenvalues of the matrix  $\hat{Q}$  are

$$\mu_{1,2} = 1 - 2\alpha^2 \pm 2\sqrt{\alpha^4 - \alpha^2}. \tag{6}$$

A necessary condition for the stability is that all the eigenvalues of the amplification matrix  $\hat{Q}$  must be less than or equal to one in magnitude (the von Neumann condition [4]).

First, assume that  $\lambda > 1$ . By letting  $\sin(\xi/2) = 1$ , we have  $\alpha^2 > 1$ . Then one of the eigenvalues of  $\hat{Q}$  is greater than one in magnitude; i.e.,

$$|\mu_2| = |1 - 2\alpha^2 - 2\sqrt{\alpha^4 - \alpha^2}| > 1. \tag{7}$$

Thus the scheme is unstable for  $\lambda > 1$ .

Next, assume that

$$0 < \lambda \leq 1.$$

Then we have

$$|\mu_{1,2}|^2 = (1 - 2\alpha^2)^2 + 4\alpha^2(1 - \alpha^2) = 1, \tag{8}$$

so that the von Neumann condition is satisfied. In general, the von Neumann condition is only a necessary condition but not a sufficient condition. So it does not guarantee that the scheme is stable for  $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ . However, in the special case that  $\hat{Q}$  can be uniformly diagonalized, the von Neumann condition is sufficient [4].

Here we show that the scheme is stable for  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , but not for the case  $\lambda = 1$ . We separately investigate the two different cases.

1. Suppose  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Define the diagonalizer  $T$  by

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} \beta + i\alpha & -\beta + i\alpha \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

such that

$$T^{-1} = \frac{1}{2\beta} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \beta - i\alpha \\ -1 & \beta + i\alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$T^{-1}\hat{Q}T = \Lambda = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \mu_2),$$

where  $\beta = \sqrt{\alpha^2 - \alpha^4}/\alpha$ . Let  $T^*$  be the hermitian matrix of  $T$  and  $\rho(T^* \cdot T)$  be the spectral radius of  $T^* \cdot T$ . Then the norms of  $T$  and  $T^{-1}$  are bounded as the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\|_2^2 &= \rho(T^* \cdot T) = 2 + 2|\alpha| < 4, \\ \|T^{-1}\|_2^2 &= \rho(T^{-1*} \cdot T^{-1}) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{\alpha^2}}{2(1 - \alpha^2)} = \frac{1}{2(1 - |\alpha|)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{Q}^n\|_2 &= \|T\Lambda^n T^{-1}\|_2 \\ &\leq \|T\|_2 \cdot \|\Lambda^n\|_2 \cdot \|T^{-1}\|_2 \\ &\leq C \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

for some constant  $C$ . Therefore, the Yee scheme is stable for the case  $0 < \lambda < 1$ .

2. Suppose  $\lambda = 1$ . We show that, in this case, the Yee scheme is unstable. Let  $\xi = \pi$ . Then the amplification matrix can be written as

$$\hat{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 2i \\ 2i & 1 \end{bmatrix} = -I + \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2i \\ 2i & 2 \end{bmatrix} = -I + B. \tag{10}$$

Since  $B^n = 0$  for  $n \geq 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Q}^n &= \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} B^m (-I)^{n-m} \\ &= (-1)^n I + nB(-1)^{n-1} I \\ &= (-1)^n (I - nB) \\ &= (-1)^n \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 2n & -2ni \\ -2ni & 1 - 2n \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Each of the entries of the matrix  $\hat{Q}^n$  grows linearly with  $n$ . Therefore, the norm of  $\hat{Q}^n$  is of order  $n$ , which cannot be uniformly bounded.

### 3. THE TWO-DIMENSIONAL CASE

Here, we examine the stability criteria of the Yee scheme in two dimensions. The dimensionless form of Maxwell’s equations in two-dimensional free space is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial H_x}{\partial t} &= -\frac{\partial E_z}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial H_x}{\partial y}, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where  $H_x$ ,  $H_y$ , and  $E_z$  are the field components in the  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  directions.

Applying the Yee scheme to the equations above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_x|_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{3}{2}} - H_x|_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} &= -\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta y} \left( E_z|_{j,k+1}^{n+1} - E_z|_{j,k}^{n+1} \right) \\ H_y|_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{n+\frac{3}{2}} - H_y|_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \left( E_z|_{j+1,k}^{n+1} - E_z|_{j,k}^{n+1} \right) \\ E_z|_{j,k}^{n+1} - E_z|_{j,k}^n &= \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \left( H_y|_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - H_y|_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta y} \left( H_x|_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - H_x|_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Consider a simple wave solution of (12) at the discrete space–time point  $(x_j, y_k, t_n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H_x|_{j,k}^n &= H_x(j \Delta x, k \Delta y, n \Delta t) = H_x(x_j, y_k, t_n) = \hat{H}_x^n(w_x, w_y) e^{i(w_x x_j + w_y y_k)} \\ H_y|_{j,k}^n &= H_y(j \Delta x, k \Delta y, n \Delta t) = H_y(x_j, y_k, t_n) = \hat{H}_y^n(w_x, w_y) e^{i(w_x x_j + w_y y_k)} \\ E_z|_{j,k}^n &= E_z(j \Delta x, k \Delta y, n \Delta t) = E_z(x_j, y_k, t_n) = \hat{E}_z^n(w_x, w_y) e^{i(w_x x_j + w_y y_k)}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Substituting the solution (14) into the Yee scheme (13) gives us the system

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{H}_x^{n+\frac{3}{2}} \\ \hat{H}_y^{n+\frac{3}{2}} \\ \hat{E}_z^{n+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 4\alpha_x^2 & 4\alpha_x\alpha_y & -2i\alpha_y \\ 4\alpha_x\alpha_y & 1 - 4\alpha_x^2 & 2i\alpha_x \\ -2i\alpha_y & 2i\alpha_x & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{H}_x^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ \hat{H}_y^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ \hat{E}_z^n \end{bmatrix}, \tag{15}$$

where

$$\alpha_x = \lambda_x \sin \frac{\xi_x}{2}, \quad \alpha_y = \lambda_y \sin \frac{\xi_y}{2}$$

with

$$\lambda_x = \Delta t / \Delta x, \quad \lambda_y = \Delta t / \Delta y \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_x = w_x \Delta x, \quad \xi_y = w_y \Delta y.$$

Then the amplification matrix  $\hat{Q}$  is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - 4\alpha_x^2 & 4\alpha_x\alpha_y & -2i\alpha_y \\ 4\alpha_x\alpha_y & 1 - 4\alpha_x^2 & 2i\alpha_x \\ -2i\alpha_y & 2i\alpha_x & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{16}$$

Defining  $\alpha = \alpha_x^2 + \alpha_y^2$ , the eigenvalues of  $\hat{Q}$  are

$$\mu_1 = 1, \quad \mu_{2,3} = 1 - 2\alpha \pm 2\sqrt{\alpha^2 - \alpha}. \tag{17}$$

One can easily show that the scheme is unstable for  $\lambda_x^2 + \lambda_y^2 > 1$  using the same analysis as in the one-dimensional case. On the other hand, the scheme is stable when  $0 < \lambda_x^2 + \lambda_y^2 < 1$ . The proof is omitted.

Here, we will only show a detailed proof for the case  $\lambda_x^2 + \lambda_y^2 = 1$ . With  $\xi_x = \xi_y = \pi$  and  $\lambda_x = \lambda_y = 1/\sqrt{2}$ , the amplification matrix becomes

$$\hat{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & -i\sqrt{2} \\ 2 & -1 & i\sqrt{2} \\ -i\sqrt{2} & i\sqrt{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let  $P$  be defined as

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & -i\sqrt{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

so that  $\hat{Q}$  is transformed to the Jordan canonical form

$$P^{-1} \hat{Q} P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\hat{Q}^n = P \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (-1)^n & (-1)^{n-1}n \\ 0 & 0 & (-1)^n \end{bmatrix} P^{-1}.$$

Here,  $\|\hat{Q}^n\|$  is unbounded when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, the scheme is unstable under the condition  $\lambda_x^2 + \lambda_y^2 = 1$ ; i.e.,  $\Delta t = 1/\sqrt{(1/\Delta x)^2 + (1/\Delta y)^2}$ .

#### 4. NUMERICAL RESULTS

We provide a numerical example demonstrating the instability of the numerical scheme with the condition  $\Delta t = \Delta x$  in one dimension. Consider the exact solution of Maxwell’s equations (1) given by

$$E(x, t) = H(x, t) = \sin(x + t).$$

We choose two different sets of grids such that, for even integer  $N$ ,

$$x_i = \frac{2\pi i}{N+1}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N, \tag{18}$$

and

$$x_i = \frac{2\pi i}{N}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N - 1. \tag{19}$$

We then apply the Yee scheme with the magic time step  $\Delta t = \Delta x$  and the initial data

$$E_i^0 = \sin(x_i) + \delta \cos\left(\frac{N}{2}x_i\right) \tag{20}$$

$$H_{i+1/2}^{1/2} = \sin(x_{i+1/2} + 0.5\Delta t). \tag{21}$$

Here we introduce the perturbed initial data  $E^0$  for the electric field  $E$  by adding the term  $\delta \cos(\frac{N}{2}x_i)$  with  $\delta \ll 1$ . On the other hand, the exact solution is considered initial data for the magnetic field  $H$  such as  $H^{1/2}$  in (21).

We measure the error between the numerical solution  $E_i^n$  and the exact solution  $E_*$  of the electric field in the  $L_2$ -norm defined by

$$\varepsilon = \sqrt{\Delta x \cdot \sum_i |E_i^n - E_*(x_i, t_n)|^2}.$$

In Table I, the errors on the two different sets of grids at time  $t = 20\pi$  are compared. With the grids  $x_i = \frac{2\pi i}{N}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$ , the error grows linearly with  $N$  for fixed terminal time. Since  $\hat{E}^0(\omega = \pm N/2) \neq 0$  due to the perturbation term  $\delta \cos(\frac{N}{2}x_i)$  and the variable  $\xi = \omega \Delta x = \pi$ , we have  $\hat{E}^{n+1}(\omega = \pm N/2)$  being amplified by  $\hat{Q}^n$  as shown in (11). On the other hand, taking the grids  $x_i = \frac{2\pi i}{(N+1)}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$ , we have  $\xi = w \Delta x = \frac{N}{2} \cdot \frac{2\pi}{N+1} < \pi$ , so that the instability is not expected for any finite  $N$  for this case.

**TABLE I**  
 **$L_2$  Error of  $E$  for Various  $\delta$ 's on Various Sets of Grids at Time  $t = 20\pi$**

$N$	$x_i = \frac{2\pi i}{(N+1)}, i = 0, 1, \dots, N$			$x_i = \frac{2\pi i}{N}, i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$		
	$\delta = 1.E-3$	$\delta = 1.E-9$	$\delta = 1.E-15$	$\delta = 1.E-3$	$\delta = 1.E-9$	$\delta = 1.E-15$
8	0.4896E-02	0.4896E-08	0.1288E-13	0.3985E+00	0.3985E-06	0.4446E-12
16	0.4885E-02	0.4885E-08	0.8921E-14	0.7996E+00	0.7996E-06	0.7549E-12
32	0.4881E-02	0.4881E-08	0.8826E-14	0.1601E+01	0.1601E-05	0.1554E-11
64	0.4880E-02	0.4880E-08	0.9609E-14	0.3206E+01	0.3206E-05	0.3028E-11
128	0.4879E-02	0.4879E-08	0.1016E-13	0.6414E+01	0.6414E-05	0.6151E-11
256	0.4879E-02	0.4879E-08	0.1034E-13	0.1283E+02	0.1283E-04	0.1234E-10
512	0.4879E-02	0.4879E-08	0.1037E-13	0.2566E+02	0.2566E-04	0.2465E-10
1024	0.4879E-02	0.4879E-08	0.1025E-13	0.5133E+02	0.5133E-04	0.4898E-10
2048	0.4879E-02	0.4879E-08	0.1031E-13	0.1026E+03	0.1026E-03	0.9744E-10
4096	0.4879E-02	0.4879E-08	0.1043E-13	0.2053E+03	0.2053E-03	0.1967E-09

## 5. CONCLUSION

We have proven that the Yee scheme with the magic time step is not stable. In a real computation, one always expects perturbations from either measurement errors in the data or roundoff errors. From the numerical results for the one-dimensional problem, the linear instability for the case of the magic time step is detected when a small perturbation is introduced in such a way as shown in this paper. Therefore, we conclude that the magic time step is not suitable for the Yee scheme since the solution may diverge under a certain small perturbation.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors greatly appreciate the guidance and support of Professor D. Gottlieb. Discussions with Professors C. W. Shu and J. S. Hesthaven were extremely helpful.

## REFERENCES

1. K. S. Yee, Numerical solution of initial boundary value problems involving Maxwell's equations in isotropic media, *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.* **14**, 302 (1966).
2. A. Taflove, *Computational Electrodynamics, The Finite Difference Time Domain Method* (Artech House, Norwood, MA, 1995).
3. D. Gottlieb, Lecture notes on finite difference methods, unpublished.
4. B. Gustafsson, H. Kreiss, and J. Olinger, *Time Dependent Problems and Difference Methods* (Wiley, New York, 1995).
5. R. F. Remis, On the stability of the finite difference time domain method, *J. Comput. Phys.* **163**, 249 (2000).